

# May 2015 Residents' Survey Analysis

Would you support this proposal to get HGVs out of Trezaise and Roche?

Yes – 82%      No – 12%      Not Sure – 6%

Comments were fairly similar on this question and highlighted that this compared to the second question seems more of a short term solution to a big problem. A lot of people were however united in it being better than nothing and a good idea providing the second proposal does not go ahead.

A fair amount of people expressed their concern at new housing being needed in order to fund the project and several asked why this is necessary. People who live along or near the proposed route objected on the grounds that it would make the entrances to their houses more dangerous than they currently are and a few people were sceptical as to whether the route would actually divert all of the HGV traffic away from Roche centre.

Would you support the extension of the link road (as described above) to bypass Roche Village and Trezaise altogether in the longer run?

Yes – 75%      No – 12%      Not Sure – 13%

As stated in the previous question, lots of people sited this proposal as the most viable option and a longer term option than the first.

Some people were sceptical however as to whether the funding would be found to build it and as to the exact proposed route the road would take. Both of which would be impossible to answer at this stage in the proposal.

Yellow sites A and C on map are natural village locations for infill.

Yes – 78%      No – 9%      Don't Know – 13%

Many people were in favour of these sites being developed as they agreed that they were indeed natural infill sites and they are close to amenities in the village.

A small number of people felt that once these sites have been developed, it would facilitate further development, adding to the urban sprawl of the village.

Glebe Meadow and Old Fairground site designated as community green space and protected from development.

Yes – 84%      No – 6%      Don't Know – 10%

People felt very strongly in their comments that Roche needed to protect what green space it has left and to make it available for the community as a whole to use.

No one who answered 'No' or 'Don't Know' gave a reason for their choice.

No more development along Trezaise Road due to traffic issues.

Yes – 75%      No – 11%      Don't Know – 14%

Most of the comments on this question seemed to reflect the ferocity of the traffic currently travelling through Trezaise and in such volume. People agree that the new existing houses that have been built there are too close to the road and new homes in the future would be completely dependent on a relief road being build, thus diverting the traffic around Trezaise.

If traffic was diverted from Trezaise, do you think some more homes could be developed on sites 7, 8, 9 or 10?

Yes – 57%      No – 24%      Don't Know – 19%

If you had to choose, do you prefer homes on 7, 8, 9 or 10?

Site 7 – 39%      Site 8 – 32%      Site 9 – 11%      Site 10 – 18%

Do you agree there should not be a development on site 6?

Yes – 82%      No – 10%      Don't Know – 8%

The majority of people agreed that site 6 should not be developed as the density of housing in the area is too great as it is and a further housing estate would destroy what view Roche has left of Roche Rock.

Lots of people strongly supported the need to protect Roche Rock as it is a landmark and large part of Roche's heritage.

A pavement between Roche and Victoria is the first priority for new pavements.

Yes – 80%      No – 9%      Don't Know – 11%

Nearly everybody strongly agreed that a pavement needs to be built which connects Roche to the train station and Victoria. The consensus was that due to the amount of people who walk to work in Victoria from Roche and use the train station, the road in its current state is an accident waiting to happen.

There was slight opposition to a new pavement connecting Roche with Victoria on the grounds that not that many people actually walk that route at present, deeming it not a financially viable option.

If more homes are needed to secure a bypass, do you agree that there must also be a plan for more places at the school?

Yes – 87%      No – 6%      Don't Know – 7%

This question provoked the strongest responses, the majority of which stated that no development of extra homes should take place until more places are made available at the school.

### Other comments

The drainage from the surrounding fields needs sorting as it causes flooding in parts of the village.

More services are needed.

More parking in Roche is needed or failing that, parking restrictions brought in.

A pedestrian crossing in the centre is desperately needed.

More houses will add to the urban runoff during periods of rainfall which creates localised flooding.

Temperance Hall needs to be developed.