## **FINAL FORM FIGURES**

The substantial community engagement effort delivered an outstanding public response.

In all, 365 survey forms were returned, a response rate of around a quarter of all households in the Parish

Question 1: Do you agree with protecting the green gap between Roche and Victoria from development, along with the Glebe Field, duck pond, Old Fairground field and recreation areas?

> Yes: 356 (98%) No: 2 (1%) Not sure: 6 (2%) Total responses: 364 (1> response spoilt by Y/N answer split)

Question 2: Do you agree with limiting housing development to sites A, B and C - small sites well connected to the village, with high quality design and self-build opportunities?

> Yes: 339 (95%) No: 11 (3%) Not sure: 8 (2%) Total responses: 358 (7 chose not to answer)

Question 3: Do you agree more housing between Harmony Road and Edgcumbe Road is acceptable only if that funds a new road (contracted before any new homes) to get heavy traffic out of Roche and Trezaise, and improvement is made to the quality of the central square?

> Yes: 335 (92%) No: 21 (6%) Not sure: 9 (2%) Total responses: 365

Question 4: Do you agree that development outside these areas should normally be limited to small brown field sites that are natural infill, protecting the countryside?

> Yes: 343 (95%) No 6 (2%) Not sure: 11 (3%) Total responses: 361 (1 chose not to answer)

Question 5: Do you agree all development should be high quality and designed to fit in with the best of the village and its neighbours, using a local design guide to guarantee high standards?

> Yes: 354 (97%) No: 4 (1%) Not sure: 6 (2%) Total responses: 364 (1 > chose not to answer)

Question 6: Do you agree business growth should be concentrated at Victoria, expanding if necessary the existing business park?

> Yes: 350 (96%) No: 2 (1%) Not sure 12 (3%) Total responses 364 (1 > chose not to answer)