## **ROCHE PARISH COUNCIL**

## MINUTES OF THE PARISH COUNCIL MEETING HELD

## IN THE MAIN HALL OF THE ROCHE VICTORY HALL

## ON MONDAY, 8<sup>TH</sup> MARCH 2023 AT 6.30PM

Present Cllr. G. Rowe (Vice-Chairman) Cllr. Mrs. L. Harrison Cllr. M. Taylor 3 Members of Public Mrs. J. Burdon (Parish Clerk) Cllr. Mrs. A. King Cllr. P. Kent Cllr. M. Edyvean Cllr. D. Yelland Cllr. Mrs. H. Retallick Cwll. Cllr. P. Guest

Minute	AGENDA ITEMS	Action
55/23	Apologies:- Councillors D. Inch, Mrs. S. Tippett	
56/23	<b>Public Forum:-</b> There was three members of public and they had no matters to raise.	
57/23	<b>Resignation of Parish Councillor and advertising:-</b> Clerk reported on the resignation of Paul Jones and advised the advertisement was up from Cornwall Council due to expire on 10 <sup>th</sup> March 2023. Resolved to advertise once expired for Monday before the next meeting (Proposed: Councillor D. Yelland; Seconded: Councillor Mrs. A. King) <b>Action:</b> Clerk.	Clerk
58/23	Members Declaration of Interest on items raised on the Agenda/Requests for Dispensation:- None.	
59/23	<ul> <li>Monthly Cornwall Councillor Report:- Cornwall Councillor P. Guest reported as follows:-</li> <li>February has been a lively month. My focus was the public consultation on the Cornwall Devolution deal. I held at least 8 public meetings around the patch and spoke to many residents, both explaining what the offer was and encouraging them to take part. It is fair to say that the reaction to the deal has been mixed. It is no secret that I am a supporter of it, but I did my best to field public questions with a dead bat and give people the opportunity to make their own minds up once they were aware of the detail. The consultation has now closed, and the results are being collated and analysed. It is expected that we should have the full results in April, at which point, it will be debated in Full Council as will the call for a Mayoral referendum. As and when there are developments, I will keep the Parish Council updated.</li> <li>You will know that there was a vote that passed an increase in Council Tax of 4.99% in the coming year. It was a decision that was not taken lightly but given the rising costs of inflation and the ever-growing adult social care costs among other pressures, it was a rise that we had to make. Along with the improved local government settlement [more than was expected, but still not enough!] we managed to produce a balanced budget for 2023/2024. The opposition voted against the budget as a whole, but despite offers from our Cabinet to work with them, they did not do so and did not offer an alternative budget.</li> <li>I also met with both the Transport portfolio holder and the boss of the bus company to discuss the unreliability of the Route 26 service (Victoria to St. Austell). This was on the bus companies' radar, they are aware of the problems with it, but I was given reassurance that solving this is now a high priority.</li> </ul>	

	<ul> <li>agreed to concrete over an area of this field to enable the installation of a storage shed. It is hoped to have this completed in time for the summer holidays.</li> <li>A couple of weeks ago, I was interviewed by RTS (Swiss National Television) who are making a documentary about Lithium. I gave it a positive spin, talking</li> </ul>	
	about the potential economic benefits to our parish (job creation etc), played down the environmental impacts as these will be mitigated by regeneration and generally 'bigged up' our patch. You never know, we may be invaded by coachloads of yodelling Swiss tourists, as long as they spend money in the	
	<ul> <li>village, they will be made welcome.</li> <li>There have been a couple of initial meetings of the Carnival Committee and moving forward, I will be supporting them. Alongside that, I have had a brainstorming session with Councillor Mrs. L. Harrison about the Coronation celebrations.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>I had a corking night out at the Roche Pantomime Players production of Pinocchio. It was well attended and enjoyed by all. The star of the show was Councillor Mrs. S. Tippett, but she made me say that.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>The Monday sessions held by Councillor Mrs. A. King go from strength to strength and I thank her for her efforts. Unfortunately, I usually have to be in County Hall on a Monday, but, if I get the chance, I do try and pop in.</li> <li>There have been some issues with fuel payments but that is new resolved.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>There have been some issues with fuel payments but that is now resolved.</li> <li>He has been approached regarding funding for solar panels for the Roche Victory Hall.</li> <li>Vice-Chairman thanked Cornwall Councillor P. Guest for his report this evening.</li> </ul>	
60/23	<b>Confirmation of the Minutes of the Monthly Main and Closed Meetings held</b> <b>on the 6<sup>th</sup> February 2023</b> :- Resolved the Minutes of the Monthly and Closed Meetings held on the 6 <sup>th</sup> February 2023 as circulated were confirmed as a true and accurate record and duly signed by the Vice-Chairman (Proposed: Councillor D. Yelland; Seconded: Councillor Mrs. L. Harrison)	
61/23	Matters Arising from the Monthly Minutes of the Meeting held on the 6 <sup>th</sup> February 2023:- Page 3 Min.17/23 Manholes and Potholes:- Response received from Cormac advising the relevant utility companies have been made aware of defects with their assets. Cormac also identified some works required to highway infrastructure in	
	this area. However, due to Fore Street being the diversion route for the Harmony Road closure, have been unable to schedule in the works.	
	The Harmony Road booking ends on the 25 <sup>th</sup> February so schedulers are due to coordinate the works, traffic management and road space booking the week after. Once a date for the works has been confirmed they will advise <b>Action</b> : Keep Pending.	Clerk

	<b>Page 3 Min.31/23 Tregarrick Road Update:-</b> Clerk reported as this was due to be carried out at the end of March, she would keep pending on the minutes and follow up for the April meeting <b>Action:</b> Keep Pending.	Clerk
	<b>Page 3 Min.31/23 Development on Old Poachers Site:-</b> Response received from Dylan Myers advising there are 4 apartments that have not sold, they will be in touch as soon as they are sold to sort out <b>Action:</b> Keep Pending.	Clerk
	<b>Previous Minutes - Penstraze Lane Update:-</b> Email via Cornwall Councillor P. Guest from National Property Manager of Wireless Infrastructure Group advising they are in the process of migrating this site into our portfolio, where a legal review of title will be undertaken. He asked to bear with them until this process is completed, whereby a substantial reply shall be provided <b>Action:</b> Keep Pending.	Clerk
	<b>Previous Minutes – Cattle Grid at Tregoss:-</b> Clerk reported a response had been received from Cornwall Highways advising the issue is not with the cattle grid but the level difference between the new grid and the road surface. The area in front of the grid will be relevelled, to alleviate the concerns raised. Councillor M. Edyvean reported this work had now been carried out.	
62/23	Planning Applications/Results/Correspondence/Any Letters received for or against any Planning Applications:-	
	PA23/00807 – Barratt Homes – Application for full planning permission for the erection of 195 residential dwellings, including affordable housing, with the provision of vehicular, cyclist and pedestrian access onto Edgcumbe Road (B3274) and Harmony Road, alongside public open space, children's play area, allotments, sustainable urban drainage systems, hard and soft landscaping and associated infrastructure, Bre Tregarrek Lane off Edgcumbe Road, Roche - Object – We are writing to express the opposition of Roche Parish Council to the development as proposed, which is in clear conflict with the Neighbourhood Plan for Roche. The requirements of the Neighbourhood Plan were expressed clearly to the applicant at a series of meetings and in correspondence when they carried out what was claimed to be an engagement process with the Parish Council. However, no significant response was made to our suggestions. We regret the applicant has I failed to address the requirements of the Neighbourhood Plan, primarily in relation to quantum and density of development, and design quality. Quantum of Development - Their design and access statement says that <i>"During the design process it was felt that the proposed 150 dwellings would have resulted in a very low-density scheme. Initial design exercises demonstrated that delivering more than 150 dwellings on the site can be achieved without compromising the design principles established within the outline application". We repeatedly made clear that the Parish Council could not support the increased number of homes to 195 (compared to the allocation in the neighbourhood plan and the previous planning permission). We explained this would be contrary to policy in that the proposal far exceeds the quantum of development set out in the Neighbourhood plan, which followed detailed evidence. Specifically, Plan Policy B2 states: "Development of Site D (Fig 2.3) will only be supported where: (b) The proposal is for a low-density development of up to 150 houses" We explained the high density </i>	Clerk

design would contradict clearly expressed Neighbourhood Plan position and the Design Guide regarding the intended guality of this development in particular and the Deign Guide requirements in general. The accompanying text to Policy B2 makes clear "The development of the 150 homes should be carefully designed to avoid the impression of a single housing estate, achieving a high-quality, low-density development with generous garden space and natural, open public space and well-integrated development in line with the Roche Parish Design Guide. This reflects current housing needs and complements recent new developments which have generally been high density with an over provision of affordable housing". No response was made to address the severe shortage of places at Roche School. Given the location of the development and the emphasis on family homes it is clear that the current shortage of places (evidenced below) will be made worse by the scale of development significantly exceeding that allocated in the Neighbourhood Plan. Nor was there any proposal for earmarked investment to address this by investment specifically in Roche school to increase the number of places. The accompanying text to policy B2 specifically states that the proposal allocating a proportion of the area between Harmony Road and Edgecumbe road for housing is "...conditional on: (d) sufficient places being made available to Roche School to meet increased demand arising from the development through a contribution to meet any new increased provision required as a result of development" Jeremy Walden, the Head Teacher at Roche Primary School, has provided the Parish Council with updated intake information, which shows the situation has worsened since the Neighbourhood Plan was written (email dated 31<sup>st</sup> January 2023): "The 30 places available in Reception class are oversubscribed every year and all other classes in school are full. This year I am aware of 38 first place applications for the 30 places. 11 of the applications are from siblings of children already in school. The data provided to me by school admissions indicates that 'child 30,' the child furthest away from school who will be offered a place lives only 0.47 miles from the school. The remaining 8 applicants will not get in. To give context; 0.47 miles from the school is approximately the distance to the Clays Practice so children from estates beyond that point often do not get in. Children in new houses as part of the development are very unlikely to get in. The director of Origin3 that I spoke with was concerned about this as it could be a stumbling block in selling houses to families with children of school age. I have not seen data on applications to other local schools yet. In recent times there has been remaining capacity at Bugle, Nanpean and St Dennis that has taken children that have not got into Roche. Parents who have not wished to take up places at these schools have occasionally taken children to St. Wenn but this has meant that they have had to drive them there themselves. If County offer an alternative to the local school they provide taxis, even for unaccompanied 4-year-olds! The demographic birth rate predictions that County produce have never given us accurate information about intake and do not take into account migration in and out of the area. School has received no communication from County at all about any expansion plans. Therefore, we can only conclude that they intend to fill capacity at other schools first, that is if they have even thought about the issue strategically? The 106 money would be swallowed in taxi fares. The Governors and I have long been aware that school already doesn't meet the needs of the village in terms of providing places for all children who live in Roche and the surrounding farming community. We have the ground space

to expand. Additional classes, replacement of 50-year-old temporary classrooms, a new hall that could also be a community sports facility and an area resource base for children with special needs have all been considered if expansion went ahead. I hope the above information is of use to you. If you require further information or for me to attend a Council meeting, then please do not hesitate to ask. "The situation at the Clay Practice surgery is that there is not current capacity for more patients, though the site allows expansion. So far, the NHS has not approved any expansion. Again, there must be clear proposals to actively address this before development of 150 homes goes ahead, and 195 homes in exceeding the Neighbourhood Plan policy exacerbates this issue.

Quality of Development - We repeatedly made clear to the applicant that the Neighbourhood Plan as above under Policy B2 is specifically for 150 homes to achieve a high-quality low-density development. It is clear the applicant has chosen to ignore this, and the proposed scheme will not achieve the policy requirements of the Neighbourhood Plan. In addition, Policy H1 requires applicants to "set out how their proposed development reflects Roche's character and heritage. In particular the statement must set out how the proposals follow the policies and guidance in the NPPf, the Cornwall design Guide and the Roche Parish Design Guide". The Design and Access Statement not only fails to address the clear aspirations of the Roche design Guide, but it specifically acknowledges that it only addresses one of the eight tasks it references from the design Guide and ignores altogether the other 9 tasks. Page 9 of the Design and Access Statement states the sole aim of the statement is "to fulfil the requirements of task 3". Thus, the applicant acknowledges it has failed to meet the requirement of policy H1. The failure to follow the Design Guide per policy H1 and the low density, high quality development requirements of policy B2, is evident in the design submitted - both in terms of the poor quality estate style development of a high density, and in the materials palette and detailing of the building and street designs that are straight from the lower end of the Barrett's in house designs, and with no apparent effort to address the Neighbourhood Plan policy requirements for quality reflecting the best of local design and heritage. Indeed, the applicants 'analysis' of local design approaches lumps together good, indifferent and poor quality developments in the village, rather than using the Design guides explicit and clear guidance on good and appropriate design (which is reinforced by both the Cornwall Design Guide and the NPPF) or the quality ambitions. Repeated reference to 'pastel' colours on rendered properties uses poorer quality generic developments as an excuse for more of the same ignoring Cornish historic colour and material and design palettes - slate roofs, white render, slate hanging, granite stone facings, or best architectural practice using local forms e.g., similarly sized equally spaced frontage windows upstairs and downstairs. Policy H1 requires the development to reinforce character and heritage and Policy H2 requires to offer the highest standards of development, protecting landscape character. In this context the masterplan is very disappointing. The design is generic, the palette of material features material alien to the historic character of the village - notably significant use of red brick, inappropriate type of stone facings, and – unbelievably - red tile roofs in a village and County of slate roofs. Many of the properties the proponent gives as examples of village vernacular include the lowest quality post war developments in the village- that is not what the design guide requires under policy H1 or the specific site related policies in policy B2, indeed

many of the examples given are a travesty of the design guide and the ambitions for this allocation in particular. Undoubtedly this explains why promises by the applicant to share revised detailed designs and to revise their proposals to meet the objectives of the Parish design guide never materialised. Specific concerns include: (a) The proposed layout and streetscape is very disappointing. The Neighbourhood Plan is clear that the design should not have the form of a single estate but form a series of distinct neighbourhoods, with clear street hierarchy. The plans presented appear to be a very basic estate layout cramming in as many homes as possible in a very conventional format with no clear hierarchy. We asked to see detailed design proposals showing high-quality design and street pattern, reflecting local vernacular, as required by the neighbourhood plan. Although slightly improved from the initial concept, we suggested the route from Harmony Road to Edgecumbe Road through the development could and should be designed visually as the primary route reflecting street patterns in historic parts of the village, with the cul-de-sacs forming distinct secondary 'places' with their own identity. This has not been done. (b) We are particularly concerned to see significant amounts of hard parking areas set in front of the smaller terraced units – this is not appropriate visual impact, and we would expect to see revised proposals with more sympathetic parking provision and appropriate frontages. Their design and access statement references front gardens with drives – this is not possible in the development only because of the high density proposed and the very small street facing frontage of the smaller units. In short, the poor-quality layout is only necessary due to the high density – at 150 homes this would be unnecessary. (c) The applicant offered a more detailed design workshop with the Parish and their planners and architects, and a full set of visuals reflecting the Neighbourhood Plan design guide, which is a requirement of the adopted Neighbourhood Plan (as is consultation on design with the Parish). No such set of drawings was ever presented, and despite our representations urging designs using and appropriate Cornish local palette of materials an including white render, slate hanging, granite stone facings, and appropriate window layouts, none of this was changed from the original presentation. (d) Finally, a number of the terraced properties are extremely small, with the result that there appears to be a lack of storage space within the property, and once outside no space for garden equipment, waste bins and other outside items. The homeowner could build a shed in the garden, but this would only diminish the small garden area even more so. Again, this is a result of the overly dense deign of the development to accommodate 195 homes. In short, the proposals are NOT the high-quality design approach required by the neighbourhood Plan, or the Cornwall Design Guide, and the application. Therefore, the Parish Councils objections remain those expressed previously and repeatedly to the applicant and earlier copied to the Council. Evidence base re housing need - Given the applicant makes the case for

Evidence base re housing need - Given the applicant makes the case for exceeding the Policy B2 allocation of 150 homes by a significant amount, it is worth drawing the Council's attention to the housing need and delivery background in Roche. This allocation was a contingent one, to support the delivery of the St. Austell link road – not to meet Cornwall Local Plan housing need figure, as Roche had by 2015 already significantly over delivered. The Neighbourhood plan sets this out - on 17<sup>th</sup> November 2015 Cornwall Council Planning Advisory Committee had received an update on proposed revisions to the local plan 2010-2030. As of that date Roche Parish

had already permitted 393 homes (only 58 of which at that time not yet delivered) – exceeding its pro-rata share already by 183 homes. Even of these were lawful use allowing that some certificates. completions/permissions excluding these were 277, 67 more than the Parish Council's pro-rata share in the Local Plan. Roche therefore was one of the fastest growing communities in Cornwall – on top of 75% growth 1991-2011. Nonetheless the Neighbourhood Plan delivered a number of further allocations – this one alone being contingent on the new road link. Significant permissions and completions have taken place since 2015. In short, there is no case for the excessive density proposed by the applicant on this site given Cornwall Policy is to "maintain the dispersed development pattern of Cornwall and provide homes and jobs in a proportional manner based on the role and function of each place" (Policy 2 – Cornwall Local Plan Spatial Strategy). Regarding affordable homes the applicant argues the increased housing density allows increased affordable homes in the proposed development. This is contrary to the Neighbourhood Plan policy and indeed given the preponderance of affordable housing in the Parish and evidence from Ocean Housing that vacancy rates met local needs in practice, confirmed by Cornwall Council withdrawing its proposal for affordable homes on its site in the Parish due to lack of need, the aim of development at this site was clearly to increase the guality of homes in the Parish. This was set out in the Policy Justification for the site allocations in the Neighbourhood Plan: Page 12 "....our evidence was that although there is a waiting list existing stock turnover broadly meets local demand, and recently built affordable homes have struggled to find local tenants. Ocean Housing (the largest local Housing Association) explicitly told us they do not wish to pursue affordable developments in Roche due to low demand (notwithstanding the numbers on the needs register). Similarly, Cornwall Council told us they no longer wished to promote their SHLAA site in Roche for affordable housing." Given all this, the supporting text to policy B2 makes clear that if viability of the allocated development might reduce the proportion of affordable homes that this would be preferred rather than reduced contributions to maintain the affordable - "The Roche Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group recognise these contribution requirements could reduce the affordable housing contribution on viability grounds, though specific evidence on an 'open book' basis would be required to demonstrate this".

Conclusion - Overall, it is clear that as presented the application would be a departure from policy, should not be supported on a number of significant policy grounds, and specifically is contrary to policies B2 and H1 of the Neighbourhood Plan. Nor is there a need for the extra homes in Roche, guite the contrary. We trust that Cornwall Council will, therefore, reject the application, in line with national planning policy and the clear position recently restated by the Secretary of State that Neighbourhood Plans should be defended, and 'beauty' should be a key determinant of development applications. The proposal is clearly against policy and against the design guide requirements which seek to enhance the guality of the Parish drawing on the BEST of the local precedents. We hope these comments will assist the Council in making clear the very strong grounds for rejecting the application and assist the applicant thereafter in bringing forward a more appropriate application that can achieve support based on the clear policies set out in the Neighbourhood Plan. In relation to any new or revised application, and also were the Council for any reason not minded to simply

reject this application as it should, the Parish Council would ask the Council to enforce a request from the Parish Council under Policy H1 that "The Parish Council may request an individual design review, or other design intervention on any development application, where these design criteria have not been adequately addressed". Finally, we draw attention of the Council to the fact that the Neighbourhood Plan makes clear in policy B2 that no more than 50 homes should be occupied until the new St. Austell link road is completed: "No more than 50 homes in this contingent allocation can be occupied until the new road has been completed and HGV diversion established." This should therefore be a condition of any approval that may in the future be given. Until that time, further traffic on Harmony Road and the junction in the village centre would be severely detrimental, as per the Neighbourhood Plan accompanying text to this policy requirement (Proposed: Councillor D. Yelland; Seconded: Councillor Mrs. L. Harrison)

PA23/01083 – Mr. Dean Scantlebury, DS Developments (SW) Ltd – Reserved Matters application for access, appearance, landscaping, layout and scale, following outline consent PA18/11742 dated 16.7.2020, Land at Thornton Close, Roche – Object – Cornwall Council is reminded that Policy H1 requires applicants to address the tasks set out in the Roche Design Guide – there is very little evidence that this has been done, and in the following respect it as a result fails to meet NPPF, Cornwall Council and Roche Neighbourhood Plan policies for achieving attractive high-quality design. (1) When the outline permission was granted, the indicative layout provided a more attractive scheme, with room for much more frontage greenery and less obtrusive parking, and a significant green area which may also have Sustainable Urban Drainage. The detailed provided application disappointingly creates a much denser layout, with the great majority of frontages devoted to parking. The east-west cul-de-sac will create an area of dense hard surfacing - a sea of tarmac and cars, with very little vegetation. Rear gardens are relatively large, at the cost of green frontages. The line of houses east-west on the northern side especially so - even though detached homes, the impression is closer to a terrace and will be a sea of cars in front of homes. The scheme should be revised, with more greenery in front of properties and this can we believe be achieved with a form closer to the outline, with less space given to the largest properties, and by removing the increase in properties proposed. (2) The design allows no storage for waste in front of or (preferably) beside homes, and apparently no shed for garden equipment. A less dense layout, especially for the detached homes on the northern side of the cul de sac, should allow space for waste, and more greenery in front of homes, and well sized rear garden sheds should be specified. (3) There is apparently no visitor parking at all. This is likely to cause significant issues (and incentivise tarmaccing over the limited greenery in front of certain properties over time). (4) The materials palette (white/grey render, slate-coloured roofs), is appropriate, but stone facing should be granite or slate to reflect local materials, and roofs should be appropriate natural slate. (5) It is not clear if any solar PV is proposed, but the flat roof elements would discretely support this. (6) It is not clear there is any provision for sustainable urban drainage. With such a large amount of buildings and hard standing run off is likely to be significant and should not be going into the public sewers. "Such a SUDS provision may have been provided by the public green space in the indicative site

Clerk

layout in the original outline application." We believe the above concerns can be addressed by a layout closer to the indicative one in the outline application, by reducing the size of the largest plots, and reducing the scale of the properties more generally (except the smallest). An alternative would be a small reduction to the number of the properties, but we appreciate the outline application approved the quantum of development – that approval was not however given in the context of the proposed detail design. In summary, our key objection is that the increased number of unit and the revised layout creates an unattractive frontage – the layout should be revised, and quantum of properties reduced. In doing so, we believe the other concerns can also be addressed. If not, the Parish would request a design review in line with policy H1 (Proposed: Councillor Mrs. H. Retallick; Seconded: Councillor D. Yelland)

PA23/01336 – Mr. & Mrs. N. Lomax – Replacement single storey extension to house, including demolition of store building and construction of detached car port, Woodland Barton Farm, Wireless Road, Tremodrett, Roche - Support (Proposed: Councillor G. Rowe; Seconded: Councillor P. Kent)

PA23/01321 – Mr. & Mrs. Sugden – Increase height of roof ridge and introduce dormer windows, Land West of 16 Higher Trezaise, Higher Trezaise, Roche – Support (Proposed: Councillor Mrs. L. Harrison; Seconded: Councillor Mrs. A. King)

<u>Planning Results Received</u>:- PA21/05283 – Mr. Paul Rescorl – Proposed log cabin, decking area, parking and turning, Rosevi, Old Coach Road, Roche – Refused

**PA22/11128 – Mr. Paul Taylor** – Single storey extension to rear of property, 75 Tremodrett Road, Roche – **Approved** 

**Mr. Udy** – Erection of light industrial unit, Existing Builders Yard, Victoria Roche – **Approved** 

**PA22/08716 – Ingrid** Mellor – Demolition of existing domestic garage and outbuildings to build two detached dwellings, 25 Chapel Road, Roche – **Withdrawn** 

Planning Correspondence Received:- (a) Cornwall Council – PA22/11105 BP Garage – The application has been amended to include electric charging points as suggested. With regards to the one-way system, BP will operate their own site management in terms of vehicles entering and leaving the site as do most petrol stations. The former Little Chef (last use was offices for a community care company) has not operated for several years and is outside of the application site area. The application is supported by the LPA as it provides an upgrade to the existing facilities. Councillors are happy with the outcome Action: Clerk. (b) GRC Planning – Planning application on land north of Thornton Close, Roche offering a presentation.

Councillor G. Rowe followed up on the planning result for PA21/05283 – Mr. Paul Rescorl and it was confirmed the property is already in place. Planning Enforcement needs to be informed **Action:** Cornwall Councillor P. Guest to report to the Enforcement Team.

63/23	Monthly Accounts for Approval, including budget monitoring:- It was proposed that the accounts for payments as circulated on schedu with the bank reconciliation and budget m	ne Council ap ules for March	prove and accept the n, including agreement	
	Councillor D. Yelland; Seconded: Councillor N	-		
	Salaries, Pensions, National Insurance,		March 2023	
	Income Tax and Expenses	22,107.02		
	Keith Perk & Sons Pest Control	£108.00	Mole Removal	
	Wicksteed Leisure Limited		Trampoline Parts	
	Jewson – Fence Panel Hire	£52.80	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Cormac Solutions	£693.41		
	Duchy Cemetery's Limited – Interment	£475.00		
	Duchy Cemetery's Limited – Interment	£80.00		
	HCI Data – Email Storage/Renew Website		2 Years/Email	
		£114.00 £217.00		
	Wayne Kendall – Swings/Bus Shelter Clear			
	Wayne Kendall - Trampoline	£675.00		Clerk
	Crystal Clear Limited Bus Shelter Cleaning	£68.00		
	AJH Services – Toilet Cleaning	£484.72		
	Jewson – Fence Panel Hire	£22.20		
	British Gas – Public Conveniences	£37.61		
	Hiscox Insurance	£430.25		
	Crystal Clear Limited Waste Bin Emptying	£512.00	1	
	Cornwall Waste Solutions – Cemetery	£147.94		
	DMC-IT – Updating Website	£25.00		
	Roche Victory Hall – Post Office Cont.	£86.66		
	Roche Victory Hall – Hire Hall	£30.00		
	Receipt: Cornwall Council – Interest		February 2023	
	Receipt: Lloyds Bank – Interest	£31.52		
	Receipt: Larcombes Memorials (repay)	£116.00		
	Receipt: Larcombes Memorials	£116.00	Oates	
	Receipt: Larcombes Memorials	£232.00	Smith	
	Receipt: Kerrow Memorials	£4,457.00	Buckland	
	Receipt: Kerrow Memorials	£232.00	Goudge	
	Receipt: Kerrow Memorials	£232.00	Hawkey	
64/23	Cemetery Matters (Including (a) Any a inscriptions; (b) Review of Burial Fees an April 2023):- Application received from Ke memorial tablet and for the late Valerie and I per our Rules and Regulations (Proposed: C Councillor P. Kent) Action: Clerk.	<b>d Regulatio</b> errow Memor Nigel Frost. F	<b>ns effective from 1</b> <sup>st</sup> ials for a new slate Resolved to accept as	Clerk
	<b>Review of Burial Fees and Regulations</b> Resolved to round up/down as presented by th A. King; Seconded: Councillor D. Yelland) <b>Act</b>	ne Clerk (Prop tion: Clerk	oosed: Councillor Mrs.	Clerk
	Email received from Birch Utility Services adv the National Grid in Roche Cemetery. They has take approximately half a day to complete. The within the next few weeks, early April at the abs the Clerk 48 hours prior to works. There will be to mitigate any damage to grass.	ad confirmed he estimate it solute latest a	the work itself should would be carried out and they would contact	

67/23	Roche Public Conveniences/Bus Shelters (Including Bus Shelter on Edgcumbe Road):- Clerk reported the large water bill is still being investigated and apparently a different meter was going to be tested but nothing has been heard for the month Action: Keep Pending.	Clerk
	<b>Notification of annual inspection by Rospa:-</b> Clerk reported the annual inspection is to be held in April 2023. Email from Martina Biquard advising they are known as TR7 Indoor Skatepark and have been doing skateboarding lessons for over 3 years in Newquay. We are looking for a temporary place to finish with some prepaid lessons, whilst actively searching for new premises to relocate our business. We believe that Roche Skatepark would be a great option for us, so we wanted to ask if this would be possible at all? We are flexible and more than happy to talk about this further. They have insurance cover and public liability up to £5m and asked whether the Parish Council required any specific amount. They are not expecting the use of the skatepark privately, and they are not planning or setting up a business there. Their customers are nervous beginners so they would only use the skatepark during quiet times. They are not advertising or taking bookings, they simply have a few 1-2-1 and due lessons they need to fulfil. If this works for them and for the Parish Council, they might be looking to do these lessons in the future on a temporary basis until they find a permanent location. A number of their regular students live in the area of Roche, so they believe that running the lessons there could work out great. Resolved to allow the use but not exclusive rights to the area (Proposed: Councillor Mrs. L. Harrison; Seconded: Councillor D. Yelland) <b>Action</b> : Clerk.	Clerk
	Councillor M. Edyvean/Clerk. <b>Approval of Play Inspection SLA for quarterly inspections:-</b> Resolved to approve and accept (Proposed: Councillor M. Edyvean; Seconded: Councillor G. Rowe) <b>Action:</b> Clerk.	Clerk
	<b>Street Light:-</b> Clerk reported details had been forwarded to Councillor M. Edyvean as Cornwall Council Streetlighting had queried the floodlight. Councillor M. Edyvean to confirm the details in relation to email received to the Clerk <b>Action</b> :	Cllr. M. Edyvean/
	Session this evening. <b>Repairs to 2 x Park Gates:-</b> Councillor M. Edyvean reported he is meeting with the Contractor next week <b>Action:</b> Keep Pending.	Clerk
	Council for approving the works at the last meeting, they now need to order materials and allow time for them to be delivered. Quote for rolling the Park and Under 5's park to be discussed under Closed	
66/23	Playing Fields, Hardcourt Area, Skateboard Park (Including approval of Play Inspection SLA for quarterly inspections and notification of annual inspection by Rospa):- Clerk reported our Contractor had thanked the Parish	
65/23	Clearance of Ivy from Mortuary Building and Clearance of Drain in Cemetery to be discussed under closed session. Minor Repairs/Works in the Parish that may arise:- None.	
	Email received from Cornwall Waste Solutions in respect of price review for 2023 for waste collection advising of a 10% increase for transport and disposal costs, effective from the 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2023.	

	<b>Sign down by Roche Rock:-</b> Email received from Cormac advising this has been logged but at the current time, replacement signs are not a priority for them to take action on and while they appreciate the importance of keeping the right of way signed, they have to prioritise funding towards safety defects. They do, however,	
	work with a number of Parish and Town Councils whereby they have been supplying new signposts to be erected and if the Parish Councils Contractor is happy to do this, they would be happy to supply a new signpost if he can install it? Similarly, if there are other signs around the Parish, to let them know locations, then they can look to supply you with the posts and fingers. Clerk reported she had emailed asking if they would be willing to pay the Contractors invoice. They confirmed that unfortunately they would not be able to pay for the labour costs but would supply the post and fixings. Clerk advised Chairman suggested we accept this offer and ask our Contractor to fit. Resolved to accept offer (Proposed: Councillor G. Rowe; Seconded: Councillor Mrs. H. Retallick) <b>Action:</b> Clerk.	Clerk
	<b>Edgcumbe Road Speed Camera:-</b> A works instruction has been raised for the post to be installed, date unknown at this stage. They asked if the Parish Council want the speed unit to be moved to this location next or for it to be added to the end of the rotation plan? Resolved to rotate to Edgcumbe Road next as it was brought to our attention by a member of the public (Proposed: Councillor D. Yelland; Seconded: Councillor G. Rowe) <b>Action:</b> Clerk.	Clerk
	Duck Dand and autrounding Land 8 St. Michaele Way. No undate	
69/23	Duck Pond and surrounding Land & St. Michaels Way:- No update.	
69/23 70/23	<ul> <li>Village Regeneration Works/Working Party for the Village:- Update received from Vicky Bundy advising there was 5 of them on Sunday 5<sup>th</sup> March and they did the usual areas, main street and park. There was lots of rubbish on the junction at Basset Close, so they cleared that, also a little up to the road works towards Whitemoor and up towards Hensbarrow. The Car Park was bad. They collected 13 bags in total before they ran out of time.</li> </ul>	

72/23	<b>Roche By-Pass Scheme and related projects:-</b> Cornwall Councillor P. Guest reported there was no progress on the Village Enhancement traffic measures as Rachael Tatlow was off sick and Steve Double MP had been on leave. On a positive note, Singlerose Roundabout has opened this evening.	
73/23	<b>Fully Funded EV Charging Point Scheme:-</b> Clerk reported she had spoken with a representative who confirmed this project had been put on hold for 6 months and they would contact us in the future and can therefore, be removed from the agenda <b>Action:</b> Clerk.	Clerk
74/23	<b>Devolution Project (Mayor and Land acquisition):-</b> This was reported under Cornwall Councillor P. Guest earlier update this evening, to be removed from the agenda <b>Action:</b> Clerk.	Clerk
75/23	Bugle Surgery Update:- Councillor Mrs. A. King reported there was no update.	
76/23	<b>Support for Roche Carnival:-</b> Councillor M. Edyvean reported they held their second meeting last week. Their biggest problem is insurance but by next month they will need to know how they wish to proceed. At the meeting bunting was discussed and some local businesses wish to help. Until the 15 <sup>th</sup> March they are on hold as the account for the Committee has not been used for some time but the bank has closed the account, unfortunately they were not able to pay in funds, however, it was agreed at the last meeting how to proceed. They will report to the Parish Council and would appreciate some financial assistance.	
77/23	<b>King Charles Coronation:-</b> Councillor Mrs. L. Harrison reported the Brass Band are keen but need to speak to all members. Brownies, Scouts and Beavers are keen to do march through the village, also the school. The Coronation will go from Roche Victory Hall to the Playing Fields around 11.00am on Monday 8 <sup>th</sup> May 2023, Clerk to confirm the time and date with Road Closure Contractor <b>Action:</b> Clerk. There will be a bouncy castle and cake stall and the lady who runs this is keen to assist. She is not sure if Roche Social Club will be open. She believes Roche Pantomime will be holding a raffle and are also keen to do some singing and get involved. She needed assistance with poster making.	Clerk
	Councillor Mrs. L. Harrison advised weather permitting ending with a picnic in the park. The Scouts are happy to do a table of knot making for children to try. She currently in talks with the Cricket Club to see if they can put on some taster activities for an hour. Next thought was to maybe ask the TR7 man if he would like to also put on an hours taster session on the skatepark, noting we have now received his insurance information <b>Action:</b> Clerk. Councillors Mrs. L. Harrison and M. Edyvean reported Bunting needs to be acquired. They need funding for the material to help fund making some bunting	Clerk
	by the youngsters.	
	Action: Clerk to look at Section 137 funding to see what we can spend and include Solar Funding back on to the next agenda.	Clerk
	Clerk reported she had circulated details on the souvenirs, it was agreed that mugs were the preference to purchase for school children up to primary age (school and pre-school). Also put message out to say if children live in Roche but do not go to school in Roche to inform the Clerk. Councillors would like mugs to have the design of money box, with additional wording presented by Roche Parish Council <b>Action:</b> Clerk.	Clerk

78/23	Tree Safety Inspections for Roche Recreation and Roche Cricket Ground	
	and Roche Church Yard for 2023:- Resolved to accept quote received	Clark
	(Proposed: Councillor M. Edyvean; Seconded: Councillor G. Rowe) Action:	Clerk
	Clerk.	
79/23	Discussion on Salt Bins for Roche Village:- Resolved to discuss when we next	
	review our precept this year, either for salt bins or a Contractor to carry out work	Clerk
	(Proposed: Councillor M. Edyvean; Seconded: Councillor Mrs. H. Retallick)	
	Action: Clerk to set reminder to discuss with precept.	Clerk
	Action: Clerk to find out price from Contractor for salting roads in Roche, what	
	they charge per mile. Articles for Parish Council Website/Newsletter:- Monthly newsletter report to	
80/23	be submitted.	Clerk
04/00	Correspondence – Clerk listed correspondence and actions required:-	
81/23	1. NALC – Events	
	2. NALC – Newsletter	
	3. CALC – Section 137 Expenditure Limit 2023-2024	
	4. CALC – Community Food Growing Spaces Survey	
	5. Cornwall Council Pensions – February 2023 Employer Newsletter	
	6. Gallagher Insurance – Is your organisation protected from Cyber attacks	
	7. Cornwall Council – Local Council Planning Training: Climate Emergency DPD	
	Policies and Planning Processes – 22 <sup>nd</sup> March 2023 15.30-17.00	
	8. CALC - Speed Activated Signs	
	9. CALC – AGM – Tuesday 21 <sup>st</sup> February 2023	
	10. CALC – Accounts for 2021-2022	
	11. Cornwall Council – Town & Parish Council Newsletter – 10 <sup>th</sup> February 2023	
	12. Eden Project – Get your free Big Lunch Pack	
	13. NALC – Chief Executive's Bulletin	
	14. CALC – Safeguarding Training Session – Friday 3 <sup>rd</sup> March 2023 at St. Erme	
	15. CALC – Community Housing Conversations – 19 <sup>th</sup> April 2023 4.00-8.00pm, to	
	be held in Pool, Redruth	
	16. Wheal Martyn – Sponsored Walk Poster	
	17. CALC – Invite to Pennon Let's Talk Water Forum – 2 <sup>nd</sup> March 2023	
	18. CALC – NALC Legal Update – February 2023	
	19. Cornwall Council – Government consultations on planning changes – Cornwall	
	Council proposed response 20. Great Western Railway – Network Rail improvement works	
	21. CALC – Being a Good Chair Training – Tuesday 7 <sup>th</sup> March 2023 at 7.00pm	
	22. CALC – Training – Planning Enforcement & Appeals – Tuesday 28 <sup>th</sup> February	
	2023 at 6.30pm via Zoom	
	23. Sian Lee – University of Exeter Research Invitation	
	24. Wheal Martyn Clay Works – Clothes Swap Evening – Thursday 23 <sup>rd</sup> March	
	2023 from 6.30pm	
	25. Ocean Housing – Newsletter	
	26. CALC – Local Housing Schemes	
	27. Cornwall Council – Affordable Housing Team Newsletter – March 2023	
	28. Cornwall Wildlife Trust – Free networking Citizen Science event for Cornwall	
	29. CALC – Civility & Respect Project Newsletter – March 2023	
	30. RPM Bike Trials Display Team – Entertainment for Parish Council and Local	
	Events	
	31. Cornwall Council – His Majesty King Charles III's Coronation	
	32. Steve Double MP – February 2023 E-news	
	33. Cornwall Council – Time2Move Goes Live for Easter 2023	
	34. Cornwall Council – Community Events for Armed Forces Day	

	<ul> <li>35. Clerks &amp; Councils Direct Magazine (Councillor Mrs. L. Harrison)</li> <li>36. Cornwall Council – China Clay Community Network Panel Meeting – Monday 27<sup>th</sup> March 2023, venue to be confirmed</li> <li>37. Cornwall Council – Park Home Residents</li> <li>38. Cornwall Council – Warmth Hubs</li> <li>39. Cornwall Council – Briefing Note – Speed Cameras</li> <li>40. Cornwall Highways – A391 Bodmin Road, Bilberry South of Lockengate – Emergency Embankment Repairs: 13<sup>th</sup>-24<sup>th</sup> March 2023</li> <li>41. Cornwall Council – Eden walking groups and horticulture</li> <li>42. CALC – Carbon Literacy Project Webinar – 20<sup>th</sup> March 2023 at 10.30am</li> <li>43. CALC – Two upcoming online events of interest – VAT on Sports Fees &amp; Water Resilience and De-Salination in Cornwall</li> <li>44. CALC – Slides from CALC AGM Presentation on Cornwall Climate Risk</li> </ul>	
	Assessment	
	45. Cornwall Council – 2023 Off-Street Parking Order`	
82/23	To arrange any Sub-Committee Meetings required:- None.	
83/23	Any Urgent Matters the Chairman considers relevant for this meeting:- None.	
84/23	<b>Date of next Meeting:-</b> Monday 3 <sup>rd</sup> April 2022 at 6.30pm to be held in the Roche Victory Hall Large Main Hall, Roche Victory Hall. Apologies received for the April meeting from Cornwall Councillor P. Guest <b>Action:</b> Clerk to send May meeting date to all Councillors and update website and noticeboard accordingly. Apologies received in advance for the May meeting from Councillor M. Taylor.	Clerk
	There being no further business to discuss the meeting closed at 8.10pm	

Signature:

Chairman

Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> April 2023